# **Dopamine D5 Receptors: A Challenge to Medicinal Chemists**

G. Giorgioni\* , A. Piergentili, S. Ruggieri and W. Quaglia

*Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università di Camerino, via S. Agostino 1, 62032, Camerino, Italy* 

Abstract: Due to the lack of highly selective dopamine  $D_1$  or  $D_5$  receptor ligands, only few data about activation or blocking of these receptor subtypes are available. The present review collects the available information about molecules with notable affinity for  $D_5$  receptor subtype with the purpose to help the researchers to design novel  $D_5$  selective ligands, whose discovery may enrich the knowledge about the physiological function of such a receptor, provide information about its topography, as well as lead to novel potential therapeutic tools.

**Key Words**: Dopamine receptor ligands,  $D_1$  subtype,  $D_5$  subtype, structure-activity relationship (SAR), pharmacophore.

## **INTRODUCTION**

 Dopamine (DA) is a neurotransmitter interacting with specific receptors widely distributed both in peripheral and central nervous system [1-3]. Since their discovery, DA receptors have been considered attractive therapeutic targets because dysfunction of the dopaminergic signal transduction is strongly involved in neuropsychiatric disorders, such as schizophrenia, drug dependence, and Parkinson's disease [4].

 On the basis of pharmacological studies, performed with several different classes of DA receptor ligands, DA receptors have firstly been divided into two types, named  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  [5-8]. Later, molecular cloning studies identified five distinct genes encoding the DA receptors  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4,$ and  $D_5$ ), all belonging to the superfamily of G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs).  $D_1$  [9] and  $D_5$  [10, 11] receptors in humans, corresponding to  $D_{1A}$  and  $D_{1B}$  respectively in rodents, belong to the  $D_1$ -like family, whose activation is linked to the stimulation of cAMP synthesis. Instead,  $D<sub>2</sub>$ [12],  $D_3$  [13], and  $D_4$  [14] receptors are included in the  $D_2$ like family, which is linked to the inhibition of cAMP synthesis or coupling to other effector systems. Analysis of the amino acid sequences of the cloned DA receptor subtypes reveals that the members, belonging to the same family, share most of their structural features [15].

 $D_1$ -like receptors are widely distributed in different areas of the brain and are involved in cognition, sleep, motor control, endocrine function, behavioural reinforcement and sensitization, under both normal and pathological conditions. In particular, in the last few years, new information concerning distribution and role of  $D_5$  receptors has been reported. Ciliax et al. found that D<sub>1B</sub> immunoreactivity was widespread in rat brain, including perikarya and proximal dendrites in cortex, basal forebrain, hippocampus, basal ganglia diencephalons, brainstem, and cerebellum [16, 17]. Preliminary studies developed on  $D_5$  receptor "knockout" mice suggested that  $D_5$  receptors exert subtle, but not negligible, regulation of discrete topographies of behavior [18]. Zeng *et al*. reviewed studies on  $D_5$  receptor null mice showing that the disruption of the  $D_5$  receptors results in hypertension. Such an effect is probably caused by the activation of the sympathetic nervous system consequent to the stimulation of oxytocin,  $V_1$  vasopressin, and non-NMDA receptors in the central nervous system, indicating that  $D_5$  receptors play a role in blood pressure regulation [19]. Therefore,  $D_5$ -selective ligands have been suggested to be useful tools for the treatment of hypertension [20]. Moreover, it has been reported that activation of DA  $D_5$  receptors in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) neurons may contribute to the addictive properties [21] and locomotor stimulant effects of cocaine [22], suggesting that  $DA$   $D_5$  antagonists might be employed in the treatment of cocaine addiction. Recently,  $DA$   $D_5$  receptor subtype has been shown to mediate DA action on male and female sexual behavior, perhaps *via* a reward pathway [23].

 Over the last twenty years, several structurally different compounds have been developed as ligands more or less selective toward one DA receptor subtype. Some of them proved to be clinically useful tools for the treatment of neurological diseases. While ligands selective for  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$  and  $D_4$ receptors are well known and a rich panel of data concerning the topographic requirements of these DA receptor subtypes is available from the literature [4], the discrimination between  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptors is still an almost unexplored field. The aim of the present review is to collect the available information about molecules with remarkable affinity for DA  $D_5$  receptor subtype with the purpose to help the researchers to design novel  $D_5$  selective ligands, whose discovery may enrich the knowledge about the function of such a receptor, provide information about its topography, as well as lead to novel potential therapeutic tools.

## **DA D5 RECEPTOR LIGANDS**

Several examples of agents selective for the  $D_1$ -like receptors are known [24], but only few data about the selective activation or blocking of one of the two subtypes belonging to this receptor family are available. The 80% sequence homology within the highly conserved seven transmembrane spanning domains of DA  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptors [25, 26] is probably responsible for the lack of highly selective  $D_1$  or  $D_5$ receptor ligands.

**<sup>\*</sup>**Address correspondence to this author at the Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università di Camerino, *via* S. Agostino 1, 62032, Camerino, Italy; Tel: ++390737402368; Fax: ++390737637345; Email: gianfabio.giorgioni@unicam.it

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_5$	$\mathbf{D}_1/\mathbf{D}_5$
<b>Agonists</b>			
$(+)$ -SKF82526	28.0	15.0	1.86
SKF38393	150	$100\,$	1.50
$\beta$ -Ergocriptine	$\Box$	113	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
Dopamine	2,340	228	10.29
Apomorphine	680	363	1.87
Bromocriptine	672	454	1.48
SKF76783	645	530	1.22
(-)-SKF82526	1,818	800	2.27
$(+)$ -ADTN	4,600	909	5.06
Pergolide	1,363	918	1.48
N0437	2,172	986	2.20
NPA	1,816	1,136	1.59
Serotonin	9,690	3,000	3.23
Noradrenaline	50,000	12,000	4.16
LY171555	>20,000	>20,000	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
<b>Antagonists</b>			
<b>SCH23390</b>	0.35	0.30	1.17
SKF83566	0.30	0.40	0.75
$\alpha$ -Flupenthixol	$4.0\,$	$8.0\,$	0.50
Fluphenazine	21.0	14.0	1.50
$(+)$ -Butaclamol	$3.0\,$	27.0	$0.11\,$
<b>SCH23388</b>	41.0	35.0	1.17
Haloperidol	27.0	48.0	0.56
Chlorpromazine	73.0	133	0.55
Clozapine	141	250	0.56
Thioridazine	$100\,$	300	0.33
Ketanserin	190	2,500	$0.07\,$
Spiperone	220	4,500	0.05
Etichlopride	18,000	19,000	0.95
(+)-Sulpiride	20,454	28,636	0.71
(-)-Sulpiride	36,000	77,270	$0.46\,$

Table 1. Affinities ( $K_i$ , nM) for [<sup>3</sup>H]SCH23390 Binding to  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  Receptors in COS-7 Cells. Data from Ref. [10]

After the cloning of the  $D_5$  receptor, several DA agonists and antagonists were tested for their affinity for  $DA$   $D_1$  and D5 receptors expressed in COS-7 cells (Table **1**) [10].

played a 10-fold higher affinity for the endogenous agonist DA, providing the first evidence of discrimination between the two different DA D<sub>1</sub>-like receptor subtypes. Such an evidence was in agreement with the results of the study by Tiberi *et al*. who reported that membranes of cells expressing

 $D_5$  receptor bound the tested drugs with a pharmacological profile similar to that of the cloned  $D_1$  receptor, but dis-







**(Table 2. Contd….)** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> data from Ref. [37];  $^{\text{b}}$  data from Ref. [38];  $^{\text{c}}$  data from Ref. [39];  $^{\text{d}}$  data from Ref. [40];  $^{\text{c}}$  data from Ref. [45];  $^{\text{f}}$  data from Ref. [41];  $^{\text{g}}$  data from Ref. [40];  $^{\text{h}}$  data fr from Ref. [33];  $^k$  data from Ref. [44];  $^i$  data from Ref. [36].



**10**: Apomorphine

Fig. (1). Pharmacophore of  $D_1$ -like full agonists and structure of apomorphine.

 $D_{1B}$  receptors displayed higher affinities for agonists, such as DA, rather than those expressing  $D_{1A}$  receptors, whereas antagonist affinities were lower at the  $D_{1B}$  rather than at the  $D_{1A}$  receptors [27].

The core molecules that have shown DA  $D_1$ -like selective properties include: phenylbenzazepines [28], such as SCH23390 (**1**) and SKF38393 (**2**) and their constrained analogs [29]; aporphine alkaloids [30], such as iodo-boldine (**3**) and iodo-predicentrine (**4**); benzergoline [31], such as CY208243 (**5**); dihydrexidine (**6**) [32] and dinapsoline (**7**) [33]; isoquinoline and thieno[*c*]quinoline analogs [34, 35], such as A86929 (**8**); isochromans [36], such as A68930 (**9**) (Table **2**).

From structure-activity relationship studies the "*trans*-βphenyldopamine", reported in Fig. (**1**), has been proposed as the pharmacophore for  $D_1$ -like full agonists. Such a pharmacophore was later confirmed by the synthesis of dihydrexidine (**6**) and dinapsoline (**7**). In addition, apomorphine (**10**), which embeds DA in a *trans*-α-rotameric conformation, behaves as a partial agonist at the  $D_1$ -like receptors in striatal tissue [45].

Although the structural requirements for  $D_1$ -like antagonism are less depicted, on the basis of molecular modeling studies, the size, shape and spatial orientation of the pharmacophore hydrophobic moiety seem to be important [46].

 None of the ligands reported in Table **2** markedly distinguishes  $D_5$  from  $D_1$  receptors, except for CY208243, which is 10-fold selective for  $D_5$  toward  $D_1$ .

 CY208243 belongs to the family of ergoline derivatives, among which cabergoline (**11**), lisuride (**12**) and pergolide (**13**) are also included. Although the ergoline derivatives reported in Table  $3$  show higher affinity values for  $D_2$ -like receptor subtype family, within the  $D_1$ -like family, their  $D_5$ receptor affinity has been reported to be from about 10- to 20-fold higher than that for  $D_1$  [47].

 From the binding data reported in the same study by Millan *et al*. [47] an interesting DA receptor binding profile was shown by apomorphine (**10**) and the aminotetraline TL99 (**14**) (Table **4**). Although these compounds displayed the highest binding affinity toward DA  $D_4$  and  $D_3$  subtypes, respectively, they both proved to be selective for  $D_5$  with respect to  $D_1$  (25-fold for **10** and 34-fold for **14**).

Compd.	$hD_1$	$hD_5$	$hD_{2S}$	$\mathbf{h}\mathbf{D}_{2\mathrm{L}}$	$hD_3$	$hD_4$
CH <sub>3</sub> $\mathbf{O}$ NΗ $\rm CH_{3}$ $O_{\rm N}$ CH <sub>3</sub> ĸ $H_{\ell_{\ell}}$ $\mathbb{R}$ $\mathbb{R}$ $\mathbf{v}_{\rm H}$ HN 11: Cabergoline	6.67 (214)	7.65 (22.4)	9.21 (0.62)	9.02 (0.95)	9.10 (0.79)	7.25 (56.2)
O $\overline{HN}$ CH <sub>3</sub> NH S $k_{\rm H}^{\rm N}$ CH <sub>3</sub> $\operatorname{HN}$ 12: Lisuride	7.19 (64.6)	8.45 (3.55)	9.47 (0.34)	9.18 (0.66)	9.55 (0.28)	8.34 (4.57)
R. $H_{\ell_{\ell_{\star}}}$ $R_N$ $\mathbb{R}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{K}}\nolimits_{\mathrm{H}}$ <b>HN</b> 13: Pergolide	6.47 (339)	7.48 (33.1)	7.50 (31.6)	7.59 (25.7)	8.26 (5.50)	7.23 (58.9)

**Table 3. Affinities, Expressed as p***K***i (***K***i, nM), of Cabergoline, Lisuride and Pergolide at Recombinant, Human DA Receptors. Data from Ref. [47]** 

 It has recently been reported that the introduction of an aryl group (phenyl, *p*-OH-phenyl, *p*-F-phenyl, or *p*-CH3 phenyl) in the position 2 of apomorphine (**10**) decreased binding to the DA  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptors when compared to that of apomorphine. In particular,  $D_5$  receptor affinity decreased (from  $31$ - to 494-fold) more than  $D_1$  affinity (from 1.7- to 12-fold) (Table **5**) [48].

 Phenylbenzazepines have contributed to searches for DA  $D_1$  receptor-based therapeutic agents. The agonist SKF38393 (**2**) and SCH23390 (**1**) that still remains the primary selective DA  $D_1$ -like receptor antagonist, represented a breakthrough in the characterization of  $D_1$  receptor function. The most important structural feature of both of these benzazepines and their derivatives was the pendant phenyl ring, which

**Table 4. Affinities, Expressed as p***K***i (***K***i, nM), of TL99 and Apomorphine at Recombinant, Human DA Receptors. Data from Ref. [47]** 

Compd.	$hD_1$	hD <sub>5</sub>	$hD_{2S}$	$hD_{2L}$	$hD_3$	$hD_4$
CH <sub>3</sub> HO <sub>1</sub> $\sim$ CH <sub>3</sub> HO <sup>-</sup> 14: TL99	5.57 (2692)	7.11 (77.6)	7.22 (60.3)	7.17 (67.6)	8.60 (2.51)	7.24 (57.5)
10: Apomorphine	6.43 (372)	7.83 (14.8)	7.46 (34.7)	7.08 (83.2)	7.59 (25.7)	8.36 (4.37)



## Table 5. Affinities ( $K_i$ , nM) of 2-Arylapomorphines on Cloned D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>5</sub> Receptors. Data from Ref. [48]

<sup>a</sup> data from Ref. [67].

appeared to impart  $D_1$ -like selectivity to this family of molecules. Later, structure-activity relationship studies demonstrated that such a phenyl ring was pseudoequatorially oriented and almost perpendicular to the substituted benzazepine phenyl ring [49].

 Neumeyer *et al*. [28] reported that relatively minor changes in the 3- and 3'-alkyl, and 6-halo substituents of the partial agonist SKF83959 affected DA D<sub>1</sub> activity (Table 6). Among the novel compounds, racemic MCL204 was the most potent DA  $D_1$  receptor ligand ( $K_i = 0.11$  nM) and displayed high selectivity for  $D_1$  *vs*.  $D_2$  receptors (762-fold) and  $vs. D_5$  receptors (109-fold).

 Racemic MCL209 was the most potent novel compound at  $D_5$  receptors ( $K_i = 0.88$  nM), yet it also retained high  $D_1$ receptor affinity  $(K<sub>i</sub> = 0.60$  nM). Moreover, it showed the greatest  $D_1/D_2$  receptor-selectivity (8333-fold). The greatest separation of DA  $\overline{D_1/D_5}$  receptor potencies (140-fold) was found with MCL201. The authors concluded that, although the number of the assayed compounds was too few to support secure structure-activity relationships, the compounds with high  $D_1$  receptor potency had a halogen at the position 6; the methyl substitution on the accessory phenyl ring seemed to be optimal at position 3' and important for  $D_1$ receptor interaction. The 3-*N*-substituent seemed to play a

## **Table 6. Receptor Potencies of Phenylbenzazepines. Data from Ref. [28]**





role as well: MCL209, which lacks an *N*-substituent, showed the highest potency at  $D_5$  receptor, whereas the corresponding *N*-allyl (MCL204) and *N*-methyl (MCL203) derivatives were the most potent  $D_1$  receptor ligands in the series. Moreover, in the authors' opinion the optical resolution of the most interesting racemic compounds and the pharmacological characterization of the obtained enantiomers might be of interest. In fact, it had previously been reported that receptor affinity in the 1-phenyl-1*H*-3-benzazepine series was associated specifically with *R* enantiomers [50]. From the data reported in Table **6** it can already be argued that the stereochemistry may play an important role in the discrimination between  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptors. In fact, considering the racemic form SKF83959 and its corresponding enantiomers  $(R)$ -(+)-MCL202 and  $(S)$ -(-)-MCL201, it can be observed that  $D_1$  receptor affinity is only slightly affected by the stereochemistry, whereas for  $D_5$  receptors the higher affinity resides in the *R* enantiomeric form MCL202.

 Information about the orientation of the pendant phenyl ring has been provided by the preparation of conformationally restricted analogs of the  $D_1$ -like antagonist SCH23390 (**1**), in which the phenyl ring was unequivocally locked in the molecular skeleton [49]. The data reported in this study have clearly shown that the most interesting compound was the SCH39166 (**15**) and that the receptor affinity was associated with the conformationally rigid *trans* series. Moreover, among the four diastereoisomers, the 6a*S*,13b*R* isomer **15** (structure reported in Table 7) had significantly higher  $D_1$ like affinity and selectivity when compared with  $D_2$ -like receptors.

 In a recent work by Wu *et al*. SCH23390 (**1**) and SCH39166 (**15**) have been used as lead compounds for the development of new phenol bioisosteric  $D_1$ -like ligands with improved pharmacokinetic profile (compounds **16**-**20**, Table **7**), to demonstrate the crucial importance of the directionality of the hydrogen bond donor group [29]. In such a study compound 15 had significantly greater  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  affinities when compared with  $D_2$  and  $D_4$  receptors.

 Thus, the replacement of the phenol group of SCH39166 (**15**) with various heterocycles bearing hydrogen bond donor groups afforded the very potent  $D_1/D_5$  benzimidazolones 16 and **17**, and benzothiazolones **18** and **19**. These compounds showed excellent  $D_1$ -like selectivity over  $D_2$ -like receptors. In sharp contrast, similar phenolic replacements in SCH23390 (**1**) dramatically decreased the binding affinity, presumably due to a conformational change of the pendant phenyl group. However, among the indazole derivatives of **1**, compound **20** proved to be a potent and selective  $D_1/D_5$ ligand when compared with  $D_2$  and  $D_4$  receptor subtypes.

 Several conformationally constrained compounds, such as dihydrexidine (**6**), dinapsoline (**7**), dinoxyline (**21**), and doxanthrine  $(22)$ , embedding the pharmacophore of  $D_1$ -like full agonists " $\beta$ -phenyldopamine" shown in Fig. (1), have been reported (Table **8**).

 Dihydrexidine (**6**) was developed by Brewster *et al*. and showed a 10-fold selectivity for  $D_1$  *vs*.  $D_2$  receptors [32]. More importantly, it was the first full  $D_1$  agonist. Moreover, it was observed that N-methyl, N-*n*-propyl and N-allyl substituents were detrimental for the affinity for  $D_1$  sites and negatively affected the ability to stimulate adenylate cyclase. Tethering the two phenyl rings of dihydrexidine (**6**) through a methylene bridge, and removing the  $C(7)$ - $C(8)$  ethano bridge led to dinapsoline (**7**) that conserved the relative orientation of all the essential elements of the hypothesized molecular pharmacophore necessary for high  $D_1$  affinity and full intrinsic efficacy [33]. In fact dinapsoline (**7**) proved to be a high-affinity ligand at rat striatal  $D_1$  receptors and was endowed with full intrinsic activity. To determine whether similar SAR existed between dinapsoline and dihydrexidine series, six analogs of dinapsoline (**7**) were synthesized (compounds **23**-**28** reported in Table **9**) and studied by Qandil *et al*. [52]. In such studies, affinity data of dihydrexidine (**6**), dinapsoline (**7**) and its six analogs for DA receptors were reported. As expected, N-allyl or N-*n*-propyl analogs showed a decreased affinity for both  $D_1$  and  $D_5$ . The addition of a methyl group at position 6 (compound  $25$ ) increased  $D_1/D_2$ selectivity. Such a modification, as well as the addition of a methyl group to position 4 (compound **26**), did not strongly affect the  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  affinities.

Comparing the  $D_5$  affinity values of dihydrexidine (6) and dinapsoline (**7**) reported in Table **9** it can be observed that the latter has 3-fold higher affinity for such a receptor subtype.

 Using a bioisosteric approach and on the basis that an ether linkage could be substituted for the methylene tether of dinapsoline (7), Grubbs *et al*. [42] prepared dinoxyline (21) (structure reported in Table **8**). Compound 21 was the first ligand with high affinity for all DA receptors, and behaved as a potent full agonist at all of them. Thus, it may represent the first drug that can be considered a true high affinity DA replacement (Table **10**). Once again, the N-allyl and N-*n*propyl derivatives of 21 showed a decrease in  $D_1$  and  $D_5$ affinity values. The incorporation of other heteroatoms, such as a sulfur or nitrogen, into the tether did not afford active compounds [53].

 The search for other oxygen bioisosters led to the preparation of doxanthrine (**22**) (structure reported in Table **8**), an analog of **6** in which the ethyl tether between the catechol and tetrahydroisoquinoline substructures was replaced by an oxymethylene ether bridge [51]. Such a compound possessed high affinity  $(K_i = 20-30 \text{ nM})$  for D<sub>1</sub>-like receptors in native porcine striatal tissue and full intrinsic activity at cloned human DA  $D_1$  receptors, but had much lower affinity for DA D<sub>2</sub>-like receptors  $(K<sub>i</sub> = 3000$  nM).

 Interestingly, compound **22**, submitted to a screening program on cloned DA receptor subtypes (Table **8**), showed affinity for the DA  $D_1$  ( $K_i = 98$  nM) and  $D_5$  ( $K_i = 7$  nM) receptors.

 To obtain moderately constrained compounds with the tryptamine and  $\alpha$ -phenylethylamine structure incorporated into a 10-membered azecine ring, Witt *et al*. reported the synthesis and the DA receptor affinities of some 6,7,8, 9,14,15-hexahydro-5*H*-benz[*d*]indolo[2,3-*g*]azecine derivatives [54].





# **Table 8. Affinities (***K***i, nM) for Compounds 6, 7, 21, and 22**



**(Table 8. Contd….)** 



 $^a$  data from Ref. [41];  $^b$  data from Ref. [42];  $^c$  data from Ref. [43];  $^d$  data from Ref. [33];  $^c$  data from Ref. [51].

### **Table 9. Affinities of Dinapsoline Analogs 23-28 for DA Receptors. Data from Ref. [52]**





a datum from Ref. [41].

 In binding assays with rat striatal receptors, the 7-methylderivative (LE-300, **29** reported in Fig. **2**) showed higher affinity for the  $D_1$  binding site ( $K_i = 0.08$  nmol for displacement of  $[^{3}H]$ SCH23390), than standards, such as butaclamol and SCH23390 (**1**). This compound was characterized as a DA antagonist by conditioned avoidance response test with mice and, since then, has represented the lead compound of a new class of DA antagonists. The indoloazepines **30** reported in Fig. (**2**), analogs of LE300 (**29**), SCH23390 (**1**) and SKF38393 (**2**), were synthesized and evaluated for their affinity for DA  $D_1$ ,  $D_{2L}$ ,  $D_4$  and  $D_5$  receptor subtypes [55]. All the compounds showed affinity in the micromolar range to both DA receptor subtype families with higher selectivity for the  $D_1$  receptor family. Interestingly, when compared to SKF38393 (**2**) compound **30a** was a partial agonist, while compounds **30c** and **30e** behaved as inverse agonist, and neutral ligand (antagonist), respectively.

 In order to estimate the importance of the indole moiety in this highly active benz-indolo-azecine, a series of benzazecines and benzazonines, reported in Fig. (**3**), was synthe-

	Binding Affinities $K_{0.5}$ (nM) for Cloned DA Receptors					Potency at DA Receptors – $EC_{50}$ (nM)				
Compd.	$C-6$ $\mathbf{D}_1$	<b>HEK</b> $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}}$	$C-6$ $D_{2L}$	$C-6$ $\mathbf{D}_3$	<b>CHO</b> $\mathbf{D}_4$	$D_1$ -like (striatum)	$C-6$ $\mathbf{D}_1$	<b>HEK</b> $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}}$	$D_{2L}$ $C-6$	<b>CHO</b> $D_4$
DA	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	۰	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	5.000	530	110	20.0	1,800
6: dihydrexidine	2.2	14.0	180	15.0	14.0	70.0	34.0	12.0	110	1,400
7: dinapsoline	5.5	10.0	140	10.0	60.0	30.0	44.0	9.7	550	
21: dinoxyline	3.9	3.8	86.0	1.0	1.1	87.0	8.6	12.0	26.0	52.0
N-allyl-dinoxyline	110	1,500	290	9.0	6.3	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	610	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	>10,000	170
N-n-propyl-dinoxyline	190	570	98.0	0.93	2.6	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	760	2,600	99.0	25.0

**Table 10. Binding Affinities and Potency at Cloned DA Receptors. Data from Ref. [42]** 

sized and pharmacologically investigated [56]. Unlike LE-300 (**29**), the new compounds did not show any significant affinity toward the  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_4$ , and  $D_5$  receptors. The authors concluded that an indole moiety, or at least another second aromatic system at the central azecine ring, is part of the leading to a lower degree of rigidization and a different electronic situation in the central ring system as well as induction of a different electrostatic field in the respective benzene moiety. Compounds **31** and **32** were screened for their binding affinities to human cloned DA receptor subtypes ex-



**Fig. (2).** Structures of compounds LE300 (29) and 30a-h.

pharmacophore and thus essential for high biological activity.



**Fig. (3).** General structures of benzazecines and benzazonines.

 SAR studies on the N-substituent of LE300 have shown that by increasing the size of such a substituent the affinity to all the tested DA receptor subtypes decreased [57].

 A real *"step toward dopamine D5 receptor selectivity"* was reported by Wittig *et al*. [58]. Originating from the lead LE300 (**29**), the authors synthesized compounds with an enlarged central ring system and an isosteric replacement of the methylene group in position 5 with an oxygen atom,



pressed in HEK 293 or CHO cells by *in vitro* radioligand binding studies (Table **11**). The oxaazacycloundecenes **31**  and 32 showed nanomolar affinities at both  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptor subtypes. Noteworthy, compound **32**, the highest affinity drug for  $D_5$ , showed a moderate  $D_5/D_1$  selectivity ratio. On the other hand, the 3-methoxylated derivative **31**, even being endowed with lower affinity values, showed higher  $D_5/D_1$ affinity ratio.

Both heterocycles displayed weaker affinities at the  $D_2$ like receptor family. Concerning  $D_5$  over  $D_{2L}$  binding selectivity, the hydroxylated **32** showed a significant ratio of almost 1:500, while the methoxylated derivative **31** still reached a ratio of 1:88. Compound **32** investigated for its functional behavior at the  $hD_1$  receptor, proved to be a blocker of  $D_1$ activity. Compound **31** revealed high binding affinities in the nanomolar range to  $D_1$ -like receptors and represented the first step to a structurally new class of dopaminergic ligands with binding selectivity to the  $hD_5$  receptor subtype, thus giving chances for evaluating the properties of  $D_5$  receptors in the brain.

**Table 11. Affinities (***K***i, nM) of Dibenz[***g***,***j***]-1-oxa-4-azycycloundecenes (31 and 32) and Open-Chain Analogues (33-38) at DA Receptor Subtypes. Data from Ref. [58]** 

R  $\sim$   $\ell^0$ 

N

CH3







 To investigate the effect of rigidization of these substances on the binding affinities, the open-chain analogs (2 benzylphenoxy)alkylamines **34**-**38** were also synthesized (Table **11**) [58]. When compared to the heterocycles, all the non-rigidized analogs showed lower affinities to all the binding sites and consistently higher  $D_1$  over  $D_2$  binding affinities. The  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptor affinity of the 2-benzylphenoxyethylamines reached a maximum with **35**, carrying a piperidine ring as the alkylamino moiety.

 Recently, a variety of structural modifications of the benz [*d*]indolo[2,3-*g*]azecine LE300 (**29**), reported in Fig. (**4**), was performed by Hoefgen *et al*. and structure-activity relationships were deduced [59].

The inhibitory activities at human cloned  $D_1$ ,  $D_{2L}$ , and  $D_5$ receptors were measured by using a simple fluorescence microplate reader based calcium assay (Table **12**). Subsequently, the affinities of active compounds were estimated by radioligand binding experiments (Table **13**).

 As already reported above for other series [57], larger substituents at the aliphatic nitrogen atom in LE300 negatively affected the inhibitory activities and affinities: methyl seemed to be the optimum in terms of activity. Deleting one of the aromatic rings (compounds **41**, **42**, and **45**), as well as replacing the non-indole aromatic ring with a phenyl moiety

(compounds **44** and **49**), almost completely abolished the inhibitory activities. Contraction of the 10-membered central ring (compound **40**) significantly decreased them. The replacement of indole with thiophene (compound **46**) or Nmethylpyrrole (compound **47**) reduced the inhibitory activity, whereas replacing the indole with benzene (compounds **43a,c,d**; Table **12**) increased it. Finally, the hydroxylated dibenz[*d*,*g*]azecine derivative **43d** (LE404) was found to be more active than the lead LE300 in the functional calcium assay as well as in radioligand displacement experiments, where it showed low nanomolar affinities for  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptor subtypes.

 A comprehensive binding and functional receptor profile of the dibenzazecine derivatives **43a-e**, shown in Fig. (**4**), at all human DA receptors has been reported by Hamacher *et al*. (Table **14**) [60].

 Compounds **43d** (LE404) and **43a** (LE410) behaved as competitive antagonists with  $pK_b$  derived from functional analyses in accordance with  $pK_i$  values derived from inhibition curves.

 Different positions of the hydroxy/methoxy groups, and the introduction of an amino group or chlorine atoms on the substituted benzene ring of hexahydro-dibenz[*d,g*]azecines **43** were evaluated for their affinities and selectivity profiles toward DA receptors (Table **15**) [61].



**Fig***.* **(4).** Structural modifications of the lead compound LE300 (**29**).

**Table 12. Inhibitory Activities at Human Cloned DA Receptors – Ca2+ Assay Data. Data from Ref. [59]** 

Compd <sup>a</sup>	${\bf R}$	$\mathbf{R}_2$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	$K_i$ (nM)			
				$hD_1$	$hD_5$	$hD_{2L}$	
29: LE300	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	60.4	12.7	19.0	
39a	$C_2H_5$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	170	22.3	82.6	
$43a^b$	CH <sub>3</sub>	H	H	40.4	3.09	8.47	
43c <sup>b</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub>	OH	OH	20.7	2.32	65.7	
43d <sup>b</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub>	OH	H	6.93	1.69	33.5	
46	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	207	33.7	92.0	
47	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	~1,000	337	264	
$49a^c$	CH <sub>3</sub>	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	742	112	943	

<sup>a</sup> Structures reported in Fig. (4).  ${}^{b}R_{1}=R_{4}=R_{5}=H$ . <sup>c</sup> 6-phenyl derivative.

			$\mathbf{R}_3$	$K_i$ (nM)					
Compd. <sup>a</sup>	$\mathbf R$	R <sub>2</sub>		$hD_1$	$hD_5$	$hD_{2L}$	$hD_4$		
29: LE300	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	1.9	7.5	44.7	109		
39a	$C_2H_5$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	16.4	14.7	253	378.5		
39b	$_{\text{H}_2\text{C}} \rightarrow$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	767	893	>5,000	>5,000		
$43a^b$	CH <sub>3</sub>	$\, {\rm H}$	H	4.5	11.2	56.5	134		
$43b^b$	CH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	509	2,610	>5,000	2,514		
43c <sup>b</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub>	OH	OH	341	1,078	>5,000	165		
43d <sup>b</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub>	OH	$\rm H$	0.39	1.5	17.5	11.3		
46	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	10.7	79.1	198	299		
47	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	61	361	712	1,647		

**Table 13. Affinities (***K***i, nM) for Human Cloned DA Receptors – Radioligand Binding Data. Data from Ref. [59]** 

<sup>a</sup> Structures reported in Fig. (4).  ${}^{\text{b}}R_1$ =R<sub>4</sub>=R<sub>5</sub>=H.

**Table 14. Characterization of Compounds 43a-e, 46 and LE300 (29) by Heterologous Competition Binding and Their Inhibitory Potencies on Agonist-Induced Effects on [cAMP]i, [Ca2+]i, and [S35]-GTPS Binding. Data from Ref. [60]** 

	$pK_i$ $(K_i, nM)$					$pK_{i \text{ and }} (K_{i}, nM)$						
Compd. <sup>a</sup>						$[cAMP]_i$			$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$	[S <sup>35</sup> ]-GTPYS binding		
	$hD_1$	hD <sub>5</sub>	$hD_{2L}$	$hD_3$	$hD_{4.4}$	$hD_1$	$hD_{2L}$	$hD_1$	$hD_{2L}$	$hD_1$	$hD_{2L}$	
29:	7.98	7.99	7.19	6.48	6.46	7.55	8.73	7.22	7.93	7.75	8.14	
<b>LE300</b>	(10.5)	(10.2)	(64.6)	(331)	(347)	(28.2)	(1.86)	(60.3)	(11.7)	(17.8)	(7.24)	
$43a^b$	7.76	7.78	7.54	6.86	6.32	7.35	8.63	7.39	8.13	8.02	8.13	
	(17.4)	(16.6)	(28.8)	(138)	(479)	(44.7)	(2.34)	(40.7)	(7.41)	(9.55)	(7.41)	
43b <sup>b</sup>	5.58	5.44	5.90	5.28	4.79	5.35	6.88	$<$ 5	$<$ 5	6.25	6.39	
	(2630)	(3631)	(1259)	(5248)	(>10,000)	(4467)	(132)	(>10,000)	(>10,000)	(562)	(407)	
	7.94	7.84	6.43	6.14	6.26	7.02	7.23	7.57	7.14	7.48	7.20	
43c <sup>b</sup>	(11.5)	(14.5)	(372)	(724)	(550)	(95.5)	(58.9)	(26.9)	(72.4)	(33.1)	(63.1)	
	8.47	8.53	7.10	6.73	7.23	7.95	8.01	8.20	7.71	8.10	8.13	
43d <sup>b</sup>	(3.39)	(2.95)	(79.4)	(186)	(58.9)	(11.2)	(9.77)	(6.31)	(19.5)	(7.94)	(7.41)	
	4.77	4.79	5.06	4.83	$\leq 4$	5.0	$<$ 5	$<$ 5	$<$ 5	$<$ 5	$<$ 5	
$43e^{b,c}$	(>10,000)	(>10,000)	(8710)	(>10,000)	(>10,000)	(10,000)	(>10,000)	(>10,000)	(>10,000)	(>10,000)	(>10,000)	
	6.89	6.92	6.64	6.07	5.83	6.44	7.69	6.73	7.08	7.17	7.51	
46	(129)	(120)	(229)	(851)	(1479)	(363)	(20.4)	(186)	(83.2)	(67.6)	(30.9)	

<sup>a</sup> Stuctures reported in Fig. (4).  ${}^{b}R_{1}=R_{4}=R_{5}=H$ .  ${}^{c}R_{2}=R_{3}=OCH_{3}$ .

**Table 15. Affinities (***K***i, nM) of Dibenz[***d,g***]azecines 43d-r at DA-Receptor Subtypes Measured by Radioligand-Binding Studies (Affinities) and an Intracellular Calcium Assay (Inhibitory Activities). Data from Ref. [61]** 





**Table 16. Affinities (***K***i, nM) for DA Receptor D1-D5 Subtypes Determined by Radioligand-Binding Experiments. Data from Ref. [62]** 





## **Table 17. Affinities (***K***i, nM) for DA D1/D5 Receptor Subtypes, Determined by Radioligand Binding Experiments and Inhibitor Activities (***K***i, nM) at DA D1, D2, and D5 Receptor Subtypes Generated by a Functional Calcium Assay. Data from Ref. [63]**



 All the reported dibenz-[*d,g*]azecines **43g-r**, as well as the dibenz[*d,g*]azecines **43d** and **43f**, showed antagonist properties in the calcium assay. Concerning the influence of the position of the hydroxy and methoxy groups among the new dibenz[*d,g*]azecines, the highest D<sub>1</sub>-like affinities were shown by the 3-hydroxy/methoxy compounds (**43d** and **43f**). 2-Amino-3-hydroxy-7-methyl-5,6,7,8,9,14-hexahydrodibenz- [*d,g*]azecine (**43i**) proved to be a nanomolar ligand. Concerning both selectivity and affinity, the most interesting



# **Table 18. Affinities (***K***i Values) for DA Receptor Subtypes Measured by Radioligand Binding Studies. Data from Ref. [64]**

**(Table 18. Contd….)** 



### *Dopamine D5 Receptors: A Challenge to Medicinal Chemists Mini-Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry,* **2008***, Vol. 8, No. 10* **993**

compounds were the chlorinated derivatives **43g,h** and **43l-n**. Compound **43m** was a subnanomolar ligand at all the DA receptors tested, but lacked in selectivity. Compound **43g** (LEPM436) showed subnanomolar affinities toward  $D_1$  receptor (>30-fold selectivity  $D_1 > D_2$ ) with an even higher affinity toward  $D_5$  ( $K_i = 57$  pM, 15-fold selectivity  $D_5 > D_1$ ) and, therefore, representing one of the most potent  $D_5$  antagonist.

 To investigate whether the effects of methoxylation and hydroxylation of azecine derivatives with regard to the affinities and selectivities for all the DA receptor subtypes were beneficial, methoxy and hydroxy groups were attached to the aromatic rings of the lead LE300 [62]. Structureactivity relationship studies concerning the influence of the hydroxy- methoxy- substitution of the benz-indolo-azecines **50** on the affinity for the  $D_1$  receptor were similar to those found for the dibenzazecines **43**. Among the indolic compounds **50a-d**, the phenolic derivatives **50b**,**d** displayed higher binding affinities to  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptor subtypes when compared to their methoxy analogs **50a,c**. Compound **50e**, bearing an N-methylated indole moiety, showed increased affinities toward  $D_2-D_5$  receptors compared to the desmethyl derivative  $50a$ , whereas the affinity for  $D_1$  receptor decreased. Thus, **50e** proved to be 9-fold more selective for  $D_5$  with respect to  $D_1$  receptors. Moreover, the high affin-

## **Table 19. Affinities (***K***i, nM) for DA Receptor Subtypes Measured by Radioligand Binding Studies. Data from Ref. [65]**







**Table 20. Affinities (***K***i, nM) for DA Receptor Subtypes Measured by Radioligand Binding Studies. Data from Ref. [66]** 

O

ity for the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  receptors, the very high affinity for  $D_5$ and the considerable affinities for  $D_3$  and  $D_4$ , shown by compound **50e**, represented a quite unusual affinity profile for the azecine-like DA receptor antagonists.

 Enlarging the 10-membered ring of LE300 yielded two higher homolog antagonists (**51** and **52**) [63]. Compared to the lead compound LE300, the compound with the ring enlargement next to the indole moiety (**52**) showed a decrease in affinities for all the receptor subtypes. Maintaining the tryptamine template and elongating phenylethyl to phenylpropyl moiety (**51**) showed to be much more favorable. Noteworthy, the affinity of the phenylpropyl homolog (**51**) for  $D_5$  increased from  $K_i = 7.5$  nM (LE300) to  $K_i = 0.61$  nM, displaying a 3.5-fold selectivity with regard to  $D_1$ . However, similar selectivity was not observed in functional assays. These findings suggested that the optimal distance between the indole moiety and the nitrogen is measured by a twocarbon chain.

 A similar SAR study was performed homologizing the methoxylated and hydroxylated hexahydrodibenz[*d,g*]azecines **43** (Table **18**). The resulting 11- and 12-membered heterocycles were investigated with respect to their affinities and selectivity profiles for the  $D_1$ - $D_5$  receptors [64].

 The most interesting compounds behaved as antagonists or inverse agonists, preferentially at the  $D_1$ -like family. Enlarging the dibenzazecines to the corresponding dibenzazacycloundecenes and dibenzazacyclododecenes generally maintained the high antagonist affinity for  $D_1/D_5$ . As previously observed for compounds **51** and **52**, the position of the nitrogen in relation to the substituted benzene ring was crucial, the compounds with the nitrogen closer to the substituted benzene ring (**55** and **56**) showing higher affinity than the other regioisomers **53** and **54**. The hydroxylated dibenzazacycloundecenes **53**, **55** and **59** exhibited higher affinities for the  $D_1$  receptor when compared to their methoxylated analogs **54**, **56** and **60**, respectively. Interestingly, the replacement of the *N-*methyl with NH (compound **63**) was detrimental for the affinity for all receptor subtypes. Expanding the size of the central N-heterocycle from 11 to 12 (compounds **57** and **58**) proved to be less favorable. Surprisingly, the dichlorinated compound **62** was reported to be the first "dibenzazecine-type" DA receptor antagonist without selectivity toward the  $D_1$  receptor family. Compound 65 and the more constrained tetracyclic compounds **64** and **66** did not display any significant affinity.

 In the last two years, clozapine derivatives and lactam derivatives, not related to the structures above discussed, showed appreciable affinity to  $DA$   $D_5$  receptors. Clozapine derivatives have been reported as  $D_1$  DA receptor subtype selective antagonists. Among all the synthesized compounds, the affinity values toward  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_4$  and  $D_5$  DA receptor subtypes (Table **19**) were reported only for seven of them [65].

The results showed that all the compounds had good  $D_1$ like selectivity with  $D_1$  over  $D_5$  preference except for compound **67c**, which did not display significant differences in the affinity values for  $D_1$  and  $D_5$  receptor subtypes.

 Finally, lactam derivatives, bearing various phenylpiperazinylbutyl side chains attached to the amide nitrogen, have been described and evaluated for their DA receptor affinity [66]. Binding data revealed general affinity of the target compounds toward the DA receptor  $D_2$ -like family. Interestingly, isoindolinone derivatives **68a-d** showed DA D<sub>5</sub> receptor affinity although no data about  $D_1$  receptor affinity are reported.

## **SUMMARY**

Since DA  $D_5$  receptor cloning, research has provided some advances in our understanding of receptor-ligand interactions and functions. There is still considerable territory to be explored to better understand the structure requirements to activate or inhibit selectively such a receptor subtype mainly over the  $D_1$  subtype. The discovery of highly selective DA D<sub>5</sub> ligands, which will greatly contribute to determine the functional role for this receptor subtype and its involvement in numerous diseases, still proves to be an extremely difficult goal to be achieved. This is an open challenge for medicinal chemists working in this field.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] Huang, X.; Lawler, C.P.; Lewis, M.M.; Nichols, D.E.; Mailman, R.B. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.*, **2001**, *48*, 65.
- [2] Amenta, F.; Ricci, A.; Tayebati, S.K.; Zaccheo, D. *Italian J. Anat. Embryol.*, **2002**, *107*, 145.

#### *Dopamine D5 Receptors: A Challenge to Medicinal Chemists Mini-Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry,* **2008***, Vol. 8, No. 10* **995**

- [3] Khan, Z.U.; Gutiérrez, A.; Martín, R.; Pañafiel, A.; Rivera, A.; De La Calle, A. *Neuroscience*, **2000**, *100*, 689.
- [4] Zhang, A.; Neumeyer, J.L.; Baldessarini, R.J. *Chem. Rev.,* **2007**, *107*, 274.
- [5] Kebabian, J.W.; Petzold, G.L.; Greengard, P. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.,* **1972**, *69*, 2145.
- [6] Garau, L.; Govoni, S.; Stefanini, E.; Trabucchi, M.; Spano, P.F. *Life Sci.*, **1978**, *23*, 1745.
- 
- [7] Kebabian, J.W.; Calne, D.B. *Nature*, **1979**, *277*, 93. Neve, K.A.; Seamans, J.K.; Trantham-Davidson H. *J. Recept. Signal Transduct.*, **2004**, *24*, 165.
- [9] Dearry, A.; Gingrich, J.A.; Falardeau, P.; Fremeau, R.T., *Jr.*; Bates, M.D.; Caron, M.G. *Nature*, **1990**, *347*, 72.
- [10] Sunahara, R.K.; Guan, H.-C.; O'Dowd, B.F.; Seeman, P.; Laurier, L.G.; Ng, G.; George, S.R.; Torchia, J.; van Tol, H.H.M.; Niznik, H.B. *Nature*, **1991**, *350*, 614.
- [11] Tiberi, M.; Jarvie, K.R.; Silvia, C.; Falardeau, P.; Gingrich, J.A.; Godinot, N.; Bertrand, L.; Yang-Feng, T.L.; Fremeau, R.T. Jr; Caron, M.G. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **1991**, *88*, 7491.
- [12] Bunzow, J.R.; van Tol, H.H.M.; Grandy, D.K.; Albert, P.; Salon, J.; Christie, M.; Machida, C.A.; Neve, K.A.; Civelli, O. *Nature*, **1988**, *336*, 783.
- [13] Sokoloff, P.; Giros, B.; Martres, M.P.; Bouthenet, M.L.; Schwartz, J.C. *Nature*, **1990**, *347*, 146.
- [14] Van Tol, H.H.M.; Bunzow, J.R.; Guan, H.C.; Sunahara, R.K.; Seeman, P.; Niznik, H.B.; Civelli, O. *Nature*, **1991**, *350*, 610.
- [15] Missale, C.; Nash, S.R.; Robinson, S.W.; Jaber, M.; Caron, M.G. *Physiol. Rev.*, **1998**, *78*, 189.
- [16] Ciliax, B.J.; Nash, N.; Heilman, C.; Sunahara, R.; Hartney, A.; Tiberi, M.; Rye, D.B.; Caron, M.G.; Niznik, H.B.; Levey, A.I. *Synapse*, **2000**, *37*, 125.
- [17] Montague, D.M.; Striplin, C.D.; Overcash, J.S.; Drago, J.; Lawler, C.P.; Mailman, R.B. *Synapse*, **2001**, *39*, 319.
- [18] O'Sullivan, G.J.; Clifford, J.J.; Tomiyama, K.; Koshikawa, N.; Drago, J.; Sibley, D.R.; Croke, D.T.; Waddington J.L. *J. Recept. Signal Transduct.*, **2004**, *24*, 107.
- [19] Zeng, C.; Yang, Z.; Asico, L.D.; Jose, P.A. *Cardiovasc. Hematol. Agents Med. Chem.*, **2007**, *5*, 241.
- [20] Yang, Z.; Sibley, D.R.; Jose, P.A. *J. Recept. Signal Transduct.*, **2004**, *24*, 149.
- [21] Schilström, B.; Yaka, R.; Argilli, E.; Suvarna, N.; Schumann, J.; Chen, B.T.; Carman, M.; Singh, V.; Mailliard, W.S.; Ron, D.; Bonci, A. *J. Neurosci*., **2006**, *26*, 8549.
- [22] Elliot, E.E.; Sibley, D.R.; Katz, J.L. *Psychopharmacology,* **2003**, *169*, 161.
- [23] Kudwa, A.E.; Dominguez-Salazar, E.; Cabrera, D.M.; Sibley, D.R.; Rissman, E.F. *Psychopharmacology*, **2005**, *180*, 206.
- [24] Kebabian, J.W.; Tarazi, F.I.; Kula, N.S.; Baldessarini, R. J. *Drug Disc. Today,* **1997**, *2*, 333.
- [25] Gingrich, J.A.; Caron, M.G. *Rev. Neurosci.*, **1993**, *16*, 299.
- [26] O'Dowd, B.F. *J. Neurochem.*, **1993**, *60*, 804.
- [27] Tiberi, M.; Caron, M.G. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **1994**, *269*, 27925.
- [28] Neumeyer, J.L.; Kula, N.S.; Bergman, J.; Baldessarini, R.J. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **2003**, *474*, 137.
- [29] Wu, W.-L.; Burnett, D.A.; Spring, R.; Greenlee, W.J.; Smith, M.; Favreau, L.; Fawzi, A.; Zhang, H.; Lachowicz, J.E. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2005**, *48,* 680.
- [30] Zhang, A.; Zhang, Y.; Branfman, A.R.; Baldessarini, R.J.; Neumeyer, J.L. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2007**, *50*, 171.
- [31] Temlett, J.A.; Chong, P.N.; Oertel, W.H.; Jenner, P.; Marsden, C.D. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **1988**, *156*, 197.
- [32] Brewster, W.K.; Nichols, D.E.; Riggs, R.M.; Mottola, D.M.; Lovenberg, T.W.; Lewis, M.H.; Mailman, R.B. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1990**, *33*, 1756.
- [33] Ghosh, D.; Snyder, S.E.; Watts, V.J.; Mailman, R.B.; Nichols, D.E. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1996**, *39*, 549.
- [34] Michaelides, M.R.; Hong, Y.; Didomenico, S., Jr.; Bayburt, E.K.; Asin, K.E.; Britton, D.R.; Lin, C.W.; Shiosaki, K. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1997**, *40*, 1585.

- [35] Asin, K.E.; Domino, E.F.; Nikkel, A.; Shiosaki, K. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **1997**, *281*, 454.
- [36] DeNinno, M.P.; Schoenleber, R.; Asin, K.E.; MacKenzie, R.; Kebabian, J.W. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1990**, *33*, 2948.
- [37] Bourne, J.A. *CNS Drug Rev.*, **2001**, *7*, 399.
- [38] Seeman, P.; Van Tol, H.H.M. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.*, **1994**, *15*, 264.
- Asencio, M.; Hurtado-Guzmán, C.; López, J.J.; Cassels, B.K.; Protais, P.; Chagraoui, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **2005**, *13***,** 3699.
- [40] Demchyshyn, L.L.; McConkey, F.; Niznik, H.B. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **2000**, *275*, 23446.
- [41] Lewis, M.M.; Watts, V.J.; Lawler, C.P.; Nichols, D.E.; Mailman, R.B. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **1998**, *286*, 345.
- [42] Grubbs, R.A., Lewis, M.M.; Owens-Vance, C.; Gay, E.A.; Jassen, A.K.; Mailman, R.B.; Nichols, D.E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **2004**, *12*, 1403.
- [43] Watts, V.J.; Lawler, C.P.; Gilmore, J.H.; Southerland, S.B.; Nichols, D.E.; Mailman, R.B. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **1993**, *242*, 165.
- [44] Shiosaki, K.; Jenner, P.; Asin, K.E.; Britton, D.R.; Lin. C.W.; Michaelides, M.; Smith, L.; Bianchi, B.; Didomenico, S.; Hodges, L.; Hong, Y.F.; Mahan, L.; Mikusa, J.; Miller, T.; Nikkel, A.; Stashko, M.; Witte, D.; Williams, M. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther*., **1996**, *276*, 150.
- [45] Andersen, P.H.; Jansen, J.A. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **1990**, *188,* 335. [46] Charifson, P.S.; Bowen, J.P.; Wyrick, S.D.; Hoffman, A.J.; Cory,
- M.; McPhail, A.T.; Mailman, R.B. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1989**, *32*, 2050. [47] Millan, M.J.; Maiofiss, L.; Cussac, D.; Audinot, V.; Boutin, J.-A.;
- Newman-Tancredi, A. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther*., **2002**, *303*, 791. [48] Søndergaard, K.; Kristensen, J.L.; Palner, M.; Gillings, N.; Knudsen,
- G.M.; Roth, B.L.; Begtrup, M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2005**, *3*, 4077. [49] Berger, J.G.; Chang, W.K.; Clader, J.W.; Hou, D.; Chipkin, R.E.;
- McPhail, A.T. *J. Med. Chem.*, **1989**, *32*, 1913.
- [50] O'Boyle, K.M.; Waddington, J.L. *J. Neurochem.*, **1987**, *48*, 1039.
- [51] Cueva, J.P.; Giorgioni, G.; Grubbs, R.A.; Chemel, B.R.; Watts, V.J.; Nichols D.E. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2006**, *49*, 6848.
- [52] Qandil, A.M.; Lewis, M.M.; Jassen, A.; Leonard, S.K.; Mailman, R.B.; Nichols, D.E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **2003**, *11*, 1451.
- [53] Sit, S.-Y.; Xie, K.; Jacutin-Porte, S.; Boy, K.M.; Seanz, J.; Taber, M.T.; Gulwadi, A.G.; Korpinen, C.D.; Burris, K.D.; Molski, T.F.; Ryan, E.; Xu, C.; Verdoorn, T.; Johnson, G.; Nichols, D.E.; Mailman, R.B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *12*, 715.
- [54] Witt, T.; Hock, F.J., Lehmann, J. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2000**, *43*, 2079.
- [55] Decker, M.; Lehmann, J. *Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem.*, **2003**, *336,* 466.
- [56] El-Subbagh, H.; Wittig, T.; Decker, M.; Elz, S.; Nieger, M.; Lehmann, J. *Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem.*, **2002**, *9,* 443.
- [57] Kassack, M.U.; Höfgen, B.; Decker, M.; Eckstein, N.; Lehmann, J. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.*, **2002**, *366*, 543.
- [58] Wittig, T.W.; Decker, M.; Lehmann J. J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 4155.<br>[59] Hoefgen, B.; Decker, M.; Mohr, P.; Schramm, A.M.; Rostom. Hoefgen, B.; Decker, M.; Mohr, P.; Schramm, A.M.; Rostom,
- S.A.F.; El-Subbagh, H.; Schweikert, P.M.; Rudolf, D.R; Kassack, M.U.; Lehmann, J. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2006**, *49*, 760.
- [60] Hamacher, A.; Weigt, M.; Wiese, M.; Hoefgen, B.; Lehmann, J.; Kassack, M.U. *BMC Pharmacology*, **2006**, *6*:11. This open access article is available at: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0
- [61] Mohr, P.; Decker, M.; Enzensperger, C.; Lehmann, J. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2006***, 49*, 2110.
- [62] Enzensperger, C.; Kilian, S.; Ackermann, M.; Koch, A.; Kelch, K.; Lehmann, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **2007**, *17*, 1399.
- [63] Enzensperger, C.; Lehmann, J. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2006**, *49*, 6408.
- Enzensperger, C.; Müller, F.K.U.; Kilian, S.; Schmalwasser, B.; Wiecha, P.; Traber, H.; Lehmann, J. *J. Med. Chem.*, **2007**, *50*, 4528.
- [65] Sasikumar, T.K.; Burnett, D.A.; Zhang, H.; Smith-Torhan, A.; Fawzi, A.; Lachowicz, J.E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **2006**, *16*, 4543.
- [66] Awadallah, F.M.; Müller, F.; Lehmann, J.; Abadi, A.H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **2007**, *15*, 5811.
- [67] Hsieh, G.C.; Hollingsworth, P.R.; Martino, B.; Chang, C.; Terranova, M.A.; O'Neill, A.B.; Lynch, J.J.; Moreland, R.B.; Donnelly-Roberts, D.L.; Kolasa, T.; Mikusa, J.P.; McVey, J.M.; Marsh, K.C.; Sullivan, J.P.; Brioni, J.D. *J. Pharm. Exp. Ther.*, **2004**, *308*, 330.

Copyright of Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry is the property of Bentham Science Publishers Ltd. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.